

# RICHARDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

## School FIRST

*2019 Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018*

Public Hearing – September 9, 2019

---



WHERE ALL STUDENTS CONNECT, LEARN, GROW AND SUCCEED

# *The Rating System*

---

School First is a financial accountability rating system that holds school districts accountable for the quality of their financial management practices.

School First is designed to encourage Texas public schools to manage their financial resources better in order to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

# School First Indicators 2018-2019 Ratings (FY 2018 Data)

All critical indicators must be passed to receive a rating higher than Substandard Achievement.

- A = Superior (90 – 100)
- B = Above Standard (80 – 89)
- C = Meets Standard (60 – 79)
- F = Substandard Achievement (<60)

Indicators	Points
1-4 <i>(Critical Indicators; Indicator 5 not scored)</i>	Pass/Fail
6	10
7	10
8	10
9	10
10	10
11	10
12	10
13	10
14	10
15	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>

**Was the complete annual financial report and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?**

A simple indicator. Was your annual financial report filed on time? (RISD's deadline is November 27<sup>th</sup>)

**RISD's Answer: Yes. We passed this indicator.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: Pass)**

**Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)**

An unmodified opinion means there were no indications of financial mismanagement, material errors or poor controls. A district's goal, therefore, is to receive an unmodified or "clean" opinion on its annual financial report.

**RISD's Answer: Yes. RISD received an unmodified opinion. We passed this indicator.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: Pass)**

**Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?**

A **material weakness** is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a **material** misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

**RISD's Answer: Yes. We passed this indicator.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: Pass)**

**Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?**

Another simple indicator: Did you make all of your required debt service payments on time?

**RISD's Answer: Yes. We passed this indicator.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: Pass)**

**Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?**

Another simple indicator: Did you make all of your required payroll liability payments on time?

**RISD's Answer: Yes. We passed this indicator.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: Pass)**



# *Other Indicators*

---

**Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)?**

<b>Number of Days</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>
$\geq 90$	10
75 – 89	8
60 – 74	6
45 – 59	4
30 – 44	2
$< 30$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's number of days of cash on hand was 156, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

## Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?

Current Ratio	Possible Points
$\geq 3.00$	10
2.50 – 2.99	8
2.00 – 2.49	6
1.50 – 1.99	4
1.00 – 1.49	2
$< 1.00$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's current assets to current liabilities ratio was 4.01, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

## Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency?

LT Liabilities/ Total Assets	Possible Points
$\leq 0.60$	10
0.61 – 0.70	8
0.71 – 0.80	6
0.81 – 0.91	4
0.91 – 1.00	2
$> 1.00$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets was 0.57, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

**Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the district's number of days of cash on hand greater or equal to 60 days?**

<b>Excess Revenues over Expenditures</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>
$\geq 0\%$	10
$< 0\%$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's general fund revenues exceeded it's expenditures by 4.11%, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

## Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?

Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Possible Points
$\geq 1.20$	10
1.15 – 1.19	8
1.10 – 1.14	6
1.05 – 1.09	4
1.00 – 1.04	2
$< 1.00$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's debt service ratio was 1.58, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

## Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?

TEA and state law set a cap on the percentage of budget that Texas school districts can spend on administration. This indicator asks, "Were your administrative expenses at or below the cap for districts of your size?"

Districts with ADA $\geq$ 10,000	
Administrative Cost Ratio	Possible Points
$\leq 8.55\%$	10
8.56% - 11.05%	8
11.06% - 13.55%	6
13.56% - 16.05%	4
16.06% - 18.55%	2
$>18.55\%$	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's administrative cost ratio was 6.13%, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

**Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the school district enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)**

**RISD's answer: RISD's enrollment did not decrease, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**



## Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?

This indicator measures the quality of data reported to PEIMS and in your annual financial report to make certain that the data reported in each case "matches us".

Acceptable Level of Variance	Possible Points
<3%	10
>=3%	0

**RISD's answer: RISD's aggregate variance was 0.00%, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

**Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)**

Material noncompliance is a failure to follow compliance requirements, or a violation of prohibitions included in the applicable compliance requirements, that results in noncompliance that is quantitatively or qualitatively material to the affected government program.

**RISD's answer: RISD's audit report was free of material noncompliance, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

**Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?**

**RISD's answer: RISD's did not receive an adjusted repayment schedule, so we received 10 points.**

**(2017-2018 Rating: 10 points)**

# *Other Requirements:*

---

**The following required disclosures are available for review:**

Superintendent's Employment Contract and amendment effective on the date of this hearing

[www.risd.org/group/departments/budget\\_finance/BudgetFinance\\_Docs/Superintendent\\_Contract.pdf](http://www.risd.org/group/departments/budget_finance/BudgetFinance_Docs/Superintendent_Contract.pdf)

[www.risd.org/group/departments/budget\\_finance/budgetfinance\\_main.html](http://www.risd.org/group/departments/budget_finance/budgetfinance_main.html)

# ***Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2018-2019***

---

## **FIRST Disclosures - Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2018-2019**

<u>Description of Reimbursements</u>	Superintendent <b>Jeannie Stone</b>	Board Member <b>Jean Bono</b>	Board Member <b>Kim Caston</b>	Board Member <b>Katie Patterson</b>	Board Member <b>Eron Linn</b>	Board Member <b>Justin Bono</b>	Board Member <b>Kristin Kuhne</b>	Board Member <b>Karen Clardy</b>
Meals	\$ 515.50	\$ 289.98	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 518.00	\$ 39.00	\$ -
Lodging	2,737.26	490.56	1,422.96	1,204.71	-	289.80	1,688.30	251.85
Transportation	3,610.11	323.47	1,323.84	284.49	-	885.27	964.73	286.10
Membership Dues/Subscriptions	-	-	95.00	-	-	-	-	-
Classes/Conferences/Receptions	2,639.49	-	163.68	260.00	-	260.00	195.00	65.00
Other	-	-	116.13	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 9,502.36</b>	<b>\$ 1,104.01</b>	<b>\$ 3,121.61</b>	<b>\$ 1,749.20</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,953.07</b>	<b>\$ 2,887.03</b>	<b>\$ 602.95</b>
<b>Total of All Reimbursements</b>	<b><u>\$ 20,920.23</u></b>							

\* *Other (but is not limited to) includes subscriptions, memberships, conferences, and luncheons.*

# *Other Requirements:*

---

## **Additional reporting requirements not applicable to RISD for 2019:**

Disclosure of all outside compensation and/or fees received by the Superintendent for professional consulting and/or other personal services for fiscal year 2019. (None)

Disclosure of any gifts received by Executive Officer(s) and Board members (and first degree relatives, if any) in fiscal year 2019. (None)

Disclosure of all business transactions between the school district and Board Members for fiscal year 2019. (None)

# RISD's 2019 FIRST Results:

We received 100 points to receive a rating/status of

## *Superior/Passed*

### State-Wide Rating Counts

2019 Ratings	Count	% of Total
A = Superior	902	88.43%
B = Above Standard	72	7.06%
C = Meets Standard	35	3.43%
F = Substandard	11	1.08%

### State-Wide Status Counts

2019 Ratings	Count	% of Total
Passed	1,009	98.92%
Failed	11	1.08%

***Thank You!!***

---